

34<sup>th</sup> ECCMID 2024 Barcelona, Spain 27–30 April, 2024

**Contact:** Jessica Sarda **Email:** jessica.sarda@shionogi.eu Real-world effectiveness and safety of cefiderocol in patients with Gram-negative bacterial infections in the early access programme in Spain: results of the PERSEUS study Paula Ramirez,<sup>1</sup> Esperanza Merino,<sup>2</sup> Jessica Sarda,<sup>3</sup> A. Javier Gonzalez,<sup>3</sup> Stefano Verardi,<sup>4</sup> Jesus Fortun<sup>5</sup>

1. Servicio de Medicina Intensiva, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico la Fe, Valencia, Spain; 2. Infectious Diseases Unit, Alicante General University Hospital-Alicante Institute of Sanitary and Biomedical Research (ISABIAL), Alicante, Spain; 3. Shionogi S.L.U., Madrid, Spain; 4. Shionogi B.V., London, UK; 5. Hospital Universitario Ramón y Cajal, CIBERINFEC, IRYCIS, Madrid, Spain



**Background:** Cefiderocol was utilised for the treatment of life-threatening Gram-negative bacterial infections (GNBIs) through the Shionogi early access programme (EAP) in Spain. In the PERSEUS study, the effectiveness and safety of cefiderocol in patients with GNBIs were evaluated in real-world settings in Spain.

Methods: PERSEUS was a retrospective, multicentre, observational, medical chart review study (2018–2022). Hospitalised patients with confirmed GNBIs in the EAP were treated with cefiderocol for the first time for ≥72 hours. Patient characteristics, clinical success, clinical cure, all-cause mortality rates at Day 28 and safety were evaluated. The primary endpoint population included patients with a treatment duration of  $\leq 28$  days. Patients with Acinetobacter baumannii were not enrolled by design. Only descriptive statistics were used.

**Results:** Of 261 patients, 77.4% were male, and the median age was 61 years (range: 49–68). Patients most frequently had respiratory tract infection (RTI; 47.9%), intra-abdominal infection (14.6%) and urinary tract infection (UTI; 14.6%). The most frequent pathogens were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (66.7%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (10.0%) and *Stenotrophomonas* maltophilia (7.7%). The median treatment duration was 10.0 days (range: 7.0–14.0). At baseline, 63.2% of patients were in the intensive care unit (ICU) and 28.0% had septic shock. Overall, the clinical success rate was 84.3% (220/261), clinical cure rate was 80.5% (210/261) and 21.5% (56/261) of patients died by Day 28. Clinical success was achieved in 80.0% (100/125) of patients with RTI, 83.3% (20/24) of patients with bloodstream infection and 94.7% (36/38) of patients with UTI. Among patients with P. aeruginosa infections, the clinical cure rate and mortality rate at Day 28 were 84.5% and 17.2%, respectively. Six patients out of 261 experienced adverse drug reactions (mild/moderate/severe: 4/1/1); cefiderocol was withdrawn for three patients. The outcome was recovery for five patients, and one case was fatal (patient experienced toxic epidermal necrolysis).

## **RESULTS CONT'D**

#### **Patient attrition**



**SHIONOGI** 

#### Site of infection

**Conclusions:** In patients with a range of GNBIs in the EAP who were predominantly infected by *P. aeruginosa* and/or treated in the ICU, cefiderocol treatment was effective and well tolerated, with high clinical success and low mortality rates.

## **OBJECTIVES**

In the PERSEUS retrospective study, patients were treated with cefiderocol through the early access programme (EAP) in Spain [1]. The key objectives of this study were to assess the baseline characteristics and the clinical outcomes in patients who were treated with cefiderocol for up to 28 days in the PERSEUS study.

## METHODS

**Study design:** a retrospective, multicentre, observational study in patients receiving cefiderocol for the first time in the EAP in Spain.

**Inclusion criteria**: adult hospitalised patients treated with cefiderocol consecutively for  $\geq$ 72 hours for a confirmed Gram-negative bacterial infection.

**Exclusion criteria**: confirmed *Acinetobacter* spp. at baseline; confirmed cefiderocolresistant Gram-negative pathogen at baseline; incomplete medical records; enrolled into other clinical studies of investigational products.

**Endpoints:** baseline patient characteristics, Gram-negative bacterial pathogens, cefiderocol use pattern, clinical success (composite of clinical cure and/or survival at Day 28), clinical cure (cessation of antibiotic treatment due to improvement of signs and symptoms) at end of treatment and all-cause mortality at Day 28.

## RESULTS

#### **Patient characteristics** (N=261) Main comorbidities





## **Clinical outcomes by infection site**

	Respiratory	IAI	UTI	SSTI	BSI*	B&J	Other^
	(N=125)	(N=38)	(N=38)	(N=26)	(N=24)	(N=6)	(N=4)
100	-		94.7 94.7	96.2 92.3		100 100	100 100

Sex, male	202 (77.4%)	Immunosuppression	79 (30.3%)
Age, median (Q1–Q3), year	s 61 (49–68)	Tumour (solid/haemato	logical) 62 (23.8%)
CCI score, median (Q1–Q3)	3 (2–4)	Diabetes	58 (22.2%)
APACHE II score, median (C	(1–Q3) 15.0 (10.5–22)	Transplant recipient	54 (20.7%)
Symptomatic COVID-19	63 (24.1%)	Chronic renal disease	34 (13.0%)
ECMO	12 (4.6%)	COPD	27 (10.3%)
63.2% (n=165)ICU at the time of cefiderocol	47.1% (attribute) (attribute)47.1% (attribute) (attribute)6.1% (attribute)Mechanical ventilation	28.0% (n=73)Septic shock	27.2% (n=71) enal replacement therapy
Baseline Gram-negative	pathogens and rat	ionale for cefideroc	ol administration
			Overall (N=261)
Gram-negative pathoge	n, n (%)		
Pseudomonas aerugii		174 (66.7)	
Stenotrophomonas m		20 (7.7)	
	15 (5.7)		
Pseudomonas spp.			15 (5.7)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters	Sa		15 (5.7)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp. Other non-fermenters <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i>	S <sup>a</sup> Ie		14 (5.4) 26 (10.0)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale	s <sup>a</sup> 1e es <sup>b</sup>		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia,	s <sup>a</sup> ie es <sup>b</sup> , n (%) <sup>c</sup>		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection,	s <sup>a</sup> ie es <sup>b</sup> , n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%)		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, n	s <sup>a</sup> e es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup>		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, n Previous treatment with	s <sup>a</sup> e es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%)		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacteral Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, n Previous treatment with Rationale for administer	s <sup>a</sup> e es <sup>b</sup> , n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) <sup>d</sup> , (%) <sup>d</sup> h antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup>		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester	s <sup>a</sup> e es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> h antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> d antibiotics		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester Treatment failure with	s <sup>a</sup> e es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics		15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administe Resistance to all teste Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth	s <sup>a</sup> le es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics h prior antibiotics h prior antibiotics	biotics	15 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4) 21 (8.0)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth Other	s <sup>a</sup> le es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics her susceptible anti	biotics	13 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4) 21 (8.0) 26 (10.0)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administe Resistance to all teste Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth Other Cefiderocol treatment d	s <sup>a</sup> le es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics h prior antibiotics h prior antibiotics h prior antibiotics	biotics ange), days	13 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4) 21 (8.0) 26 (10.0) 10.0 (7.0–14.0)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth Other Cefiderocol treatment of Cefiderocol combination	s <sup>a</sup> le es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics	biotics ange), days	13 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4) 21 (8.0) 26 (10.0) 10.0 (7.0–14.0) 91 (34.9)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth Other Cefiderocol treatment d Cefiderocol combination	s <sup>a</sup> le es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics	biotics ange), days	13 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4) 21 (8.0) 26 (10.0) 10.0 (7.0–14.0) 91 (34.9) 6 (2.3)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth Other Cefiderocol treatment d Cefiderocol treatment d Cefiderocol combination Adverse drug reactions, Serious adverse drug	s <sup>a</sup> le es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics	biotics ange), days	13 (5.7) 14 (5.4) 26 (10.0) 12 (4.6) 45 (18.9) 51 (19.5) 135 (52.9) 219 (83.9) 169 (64.8) 116 (44.4) 21 (8.0) 26 (10.0) 10.0 (7.0–14.0) 91 (34.9) 6 (2.3) 3 (1.1)
Pseudomonas spp. Other non-fermenters Klebsiella pneumonia Other Enterobacterale Secondary bacteraemia, Polymicrobial infection, Previous colonisation, m Previous treatment with Rationale for administer Resistance to all tester Treatment failure with Adverse events to oth Other Cefiderocol treatment d Cefiderocol treatment d Cefiderocol combination Adverse drug reactions, Serious adverse drug Serious adverse drug	s <sup>a</sup> ne es <sup>b</sup> n (%) <sup>c</sup> n (%) (%) <sup>d</sup> antibiotics, n (%) ring cefiderocol <sup>e</sup> ed antibiotics h prior antibiotics h prior antibiotics her susceptible anti luration, median (ra n therapy, n (%) n (%) reactions, n (%) reactions leading t	biotics ange), days o death, n (%)	13 (5.7) $14 (5.4)$ $26 (10.0)$ $12 (4.6)$ $45 (18.9)$ $51 (19.5)$ $135 (52.9)$ $219 (83.9)$ $169 (64.8)$ $116 (44.4)$ $21 (8.0)$ $26 (10.0)$ $10.0 (7.0-14.0)$ $91 (34.9)$ $6 (2.3)$ $3 (1.1)$ $1 (0.4)$



#### **Clinical outcomes by antibiotic use**

	Overall	Clinical success	Clinical cure	Mortality Day 28
Number of days with prior	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
antibiotics	N=212			
≤3	55 (25.9)	49 (89.1)	49 (89.1)	9 (16.4)
4–7	70 (33.0)	62 (88.6)	59 (84.3)	13 (18.6)
>7	87 (41.0)	67 (77.0)	60 (69.0)	25 (28.7)
Cefiderocol as first line	N=261			
Νο	219 (83.9)	182 (83.1)	172 (78.5)	50 (22.8)
Yes	42 (16.1)	38 (90.5)	38 (90.5)	6 (14.3)
Combination treatment	N=261			
Νο	170 (65.1)	150 (88.2)	143 (84.1)	30 (17.6)
Yes	91 (34.9)	70 (76.9)	67 (73.6)	26 (28.6)

### **Clinical outcomes by resistance to BL–BLIs**

Overall	Resistance phenotype	Clinical success	Clinical cure	Mortality Day 28			
C/T-R, n/N′ (%)	99/130* (76.2)	85/99 (85.9)	82/99 (82.8)	17/99 (17.2)			
CZA-R, n/N′ (%)	134/160* (83.8)	111/134 (82.8)	107/134 (79.9)	31/134 (23.1)			
Patients with <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , n (%)							
C/T-R, n/N′ (%)	75/105* (71.4)	67/75 (89.3)	65/75 (86.7)	10/75 (13.3)			
CZA-R, n/N′ (%)	96/112* (85.7)	83/96 (86.5)	80/96 (83.3)	18/96 (18.8)			

Klebsiella oxytoca (2); Citrobacter freundii (1); other Serratia sp. (1); <sup>c</sup>Missing (23); <sup>d</sup>Missing (6); <sup>e</sup>Not mutually exclusive.

\*Number of patients with known susceptibility results.

# CONCLUSIONS

• Cefiderocol treatment was effective with high clinical success and clinical cure rates in patients with serious Gram-negative bacterial infections, including patients with MDR and BL–BLIresistant P. aeruginosa and other non-fermenters. Early administration of cefiderocol showed a numerical trend towards higher clinical cure and lower mortality rates.

#### Acknowledgements

The PERSEUS study was funded by Shionogi. Sarda J, Verardi S, Gonzalez AJ are employees of Shionogi. Editorial support was provided by Highfield, Oxford, UK and this support was funded by Shionogi.

#### Reference

1. ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT05789199.

### Abbreviations

APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; BL-BLIs, beta-lactam-beta-lactamase inhibitors; BSI, bloodstream infection; B&J, bone and joint; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; C/T-R, ceftolozane-tazobactam resistant; CZA-R, ceftazidime-avibactam resistant; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; IAI, intra-abdominal infection; LOS, length of stay; MDR, multidrug resistant; Q, quartile; RTI, respiratory tract infection; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection; UTI, urinary tract infection.

Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission fror ECCMID 2024 and the authors of the poster